## Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C.

Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service	) ) )	CC Docket No. 96-45
	REPLY	

The record in this proceeding overwhelmingly supports adoption of the Rural Task Force's Recommendation, as proposed in the Commission's *Further Notice*.<sup>1</sup> Of the twenty or so parties filing comments, eighteen, in addition to the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc. (NECA), express support for the plan.<sup>2</sup>

Comments in this proceeding clearly demonstrate, for example, that the Non-rural Synthesis Model is not a workable tool for developing forward-looking costs of rural carriers. As the Public Service Commission of the United States Virgin Islands explains, forward-looking cost models underestimate the cost of serving rural and insular areas. Relying on actual, rather than incorrectly predicated costs, will assure that access to supported services in rural and insular areas is comparable to access in urban areas, as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 01-8 (rel. Jan. 12, 2001) (Further Notice or FNPRM).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Other parties favoring adoption of the RTF Plan include AT&T, Centurytel, the Competitive Universal Service Coalition (ALTS, AT&T Wireless, Verizon Wireless, et al.), General Communications, Inc., GVNW Consulting, Inc., Innovative Telephone (formally Virgin Islands Tel.), Interstate Telecom Group, John Staurulakis, Inc. (JSI), NRTA, OPASTCO, USTA (Associations), PSC of the US Virgin Islands, Rural Independent Competitive Alliance, Rural Utilities Service, Sprint, Small Rural LECs (Evans Tel, Humboldt Tel, Kerman Tel, et al.) Telcom Consulting Associates (TCA), Townes Telecommunications, Inc., Western Alliance, and the Wyoming PSC. Only two parties (California Public Utilities Commission and WorldCom) oppose the RTF's recommendation.

required by section 254 of the Act.<sup>3</sup> Telecom Consulting Associates agrees with the RTF's assessment that not only is the Synthesis Model not ready for use in rural company areas, the FCC's universal service mechanism for non-rural carriers would severely harm the ability of rural carriers to continue the provision of universal service.<sup>4</sup> TCA further states that any type of statewide averaging of costs would have a devastating impact on the advancement of universal service in rural company areas, and should be avoided at all costs.<sup>5</sup>

Although most commenters agreed with the RTF's proposal to re-base the overall cap on the High Cost Loop (HCL) fund, several parties expressed concern similar to NECA's comments that continuation of the cap carries the risk that universal service funding will deteriorate over time. Some parties, including the Associations, GVNW, John Staurulakis, Inc, the Interstate Telecom Group, the Western Alliance, and others, recommended a total elimination of any cap on the revised HCL support (as proposed under the multi-association group or "MAG" access reform plan currently under

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See USVI PUC at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> TCA at 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id.* Sprint expresses disappointment that the RTC settled on a cost model, but acknowledges that the current embedded cost mechanism is easier for all parties to administer and provides a practical way in which to proceed. Sprint at 2. Although WorldCom does not oppose extension of embedded cost mechanisms (at least for an interim period), it objects to increases in the size of the universal service fund. WorldCom at 4. The California Public Utilities Commission, however, objects to the RTF's proposal for continued use of embedded costs, supposedly because there is no evidence that basing universal service support on embedded costs will encourage investment in facilities used to provide universal service. CPUC at 5. These comments ignore the massive record compiled in this proceeding showing that current cost-based universal service funding mechanisms have produced dramatic successes in advancing and maintaining universal service, successes that are jeopardized by continued cap-based shortfalls in needed support levels. Recommendation at A-17 - A-20.

consideration by the Commission in CC Docket Nos. 00-256, 96-45, 98-77 and 98-166). According to these parties, any significant relief from the current "interim" cap would be welcome, but even the RTF cap will render support insufficient and unpredictable, in violation of sections 254(b)(5) and 254(e) of the Communications Act.<sup>6</sup>

Many commenters also supported NECA's view that the per-line limitation on support for transferred lines contained in section 54.305 of the Commission's rules creates many problems for small rural companies and customers involved in exchange sale transactions.<sup>7</sup> The RTF's proposed safety valve mechanism, intended to "enable rural carriers acquiring access lines eligible for high-cost loop support to recover additional support reflecting 'meaningful investment' in acquired access lines", may not sufficiently alleviate this problem, but provide a good "second-best" alternative to eliminating the rule, pending further study.

The RTF proposed a "safety net" additive to alleviate impacts from the HCL fund cap, but questioned whether this mechanism would permit a rural carrier to recover more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Western Alliance at 11; ITG at 6; GVNW at 3; JSI at 6,7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Associations at 4; TCA at 11,12; GVNW at 1; JSI at 8,18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> FNPRM at 16, citing Recommendation at A-29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> NRTA, OPASTCO and USTA, for example, urge the Commission to eliminate Section 54.305, as this "parent trap" discourages rural carriers from acquiring and upgrading inferior telephone exchange plant. Associations at 4. GVNW states that, while its preferred position would be a complete removal of section 54.305, the RTF's safety valve proposal is a step in the right direction and suggest that, if the adopted level for the safety valve cap stifles deployment of infrastructure investment, the Commission should recalibrate the valve to a more appropriate level. GVNW at 2.

than 100% of its incremental loop investment.<sup>10</sup> The Associations suggested that a safety net additive would be unnecessary were the Commission to eliminate the HCL cap as well as the corporate operations expense limitation. Should the Commission not take this step, however, the Associations make clear that the RTF safety net proposal does in fact contain adequate safeguards to avoid any excess reimbursements.<sup>11</sup>

Although the *Further Notice* sought comment only on the RTF's proposals, USAC questions whether responsibility for collection of high cost data should be transferred from NECA to USAC.<sup>12</sup> USAC's request for "clarification" actually would involve significant revisions to the Part 36 rules. This subject was never considered by the Rural Task Force or the Commission in its *Further Notice*, and, therefore, is beyond the scope of this proceeding.<sup>13</sup>

## **CONCLUSION**

The record supports immediate adoption of the RTF's proposal, as endorsed by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Recommendation at A-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Associations at 6. AT&T's comments confirm this as well. AT&T at 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> USAC Comments at 4, 5 − 7. See also, Letter from Richard A. Askoff, Deputy General Counsel, NECA to Irene Flannery, Chief, Accounting Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission (dated Dec. 28, 1999) (on file with Commission). NECA sent this letter in response to a USAC letter of December 9, 1999, in which USAC raised similar questions. See Letter from D. Scott Barash, USAC, to Irene Flannery, Federal Communications Commission (Dec. 9, 1999).

Also outside the scope of this proceeding is the CPUC's suggestion that the NECA pooling process be eliminated. CPUC *Comments* at 7.

the Joint Board, with due consideration of the potential long-term effects of imposing artificial limits or caps on the size of the Rural high cost fund.

Respectfully submitted,

NATIONAL EXCHANGE CARRIER

ASSOCIATION, Inc.

By/

Richard A. Askoff

Its Attorney

Joe A. Douglas Senior Regulatory Manager

80 South Jefferson Road

Whippany, New Jersey 07981

973-884-8000

March 12, 2001

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copy of the Reply Comments was served this 12th day of March 2001, by electronic delivery or first class mail, to the persons listed below.

y \_\_\_\_\_\_

5

The following parties were served:

Magalie Roman Salas\*
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.
TW-A325
Washington, D.C. 20554

Sheryl Todd\*\*
Federal Communications Commission
Common Carrier Bureau, Accounting Policy
Division
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Room 5-B540
Washington, DC 20554

International Transcription Services (ITS)\*\* 1231 20<sup>th</sup> Street Washington, D.C. 20037

The Honorable Susan Ness Commissioner, FCC Joint Board Chair Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Rm. 8-B115H Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Harold Furchtgott-Roth Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Rm. 8-B115H Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Gloria Tristani Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Rm. 8-B115H Washington, DC 20554 The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder Commissioner, State Joint Board Chair South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Street Pierre, SD 57501-5070

The Honorable Martha Hogerty Public Counsel Missouri Office of Public Counsel 301 West High St. Suite 250 Truman Building P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

The Honorable Bob Rowe Commissioner Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue P.O. Box 202601 Helena, MT 59620-2601

The Honorable Patrick H.Wood, III Chairman Texas Public Utility Commission 1701 North Congress Avenue P.O. Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711-3326

The Honorable Nanette G. Thompson Chair Regulatory Commission of Alaska 1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501-1693 Rowland Curry Chief Engineer Texas Public Utility Commission 1701 North Congress Avenue P.O. Box 13326 Austin, TX 78701-3326

Greg Fogleman
Economic Analyst
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Gerald Gunter Bldg.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Cynthia Miller Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Gerald Gunter Bldg. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Mary E.Newmeyer Federal Affairs Advisor Alabama Public Service Commission 100 N. Union Street, Ste. 800 Montgomery, AL 36104

Joel Shifman
Senior Advisor
Maine Public Utilities Commission
242 State Street
State House Station 18
Augusta ME 04333-0018

Peter Bluhm Director of Policy Research Vermont Public Service Board Drawer 20 112 State St., 4th Floor Montpieller, VT 05620-2701

John Bentley Vermont Public Service Board 112 State Street Montpelier, VT 05602

Charlie Bolle Policy Advisor Nevada Public Utilities Commission 1150 E. Williams Street Carson City, NV 89701-3105 Carl Johnson
Telecom Policy Analyst
New York Public Service Commission
3 Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223-1350

Lori Kenyon Common Carrier Specialist Regulatory Commission of Alaska 1016 West 6th Ave, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501

Susan Stevens Miller Assistant General Counsel Maryland Public Service Commission 16th Floor, 6 Paul Street Baltimore. MD 21202-6806

Tom Wilson
Economist
Washington Utilities & Transportation
Commission
1300 Evergreen Park Drive, S.W.
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Philip McClelland
Senior Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Office of Consumer Advocate
555 Walnut Street
Forum Place, 5th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923

Barbara Meisenheimer Consumer Advocate Missouri Office of Public Counsel 301 West High St., Suite 250 Truman Building P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Earl Poucher Legislative Analyst Office of the Public Counsel 111 West Madison, Rm. 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Ann Dean Assistant Director Maryland Public Service Commission 16th Floor, 6 Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

David Dowds
Public Utilities Supervisor
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oaks Blvd.
Gerald Gunter Bldg.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Margot Smiley Humphrey Counsel for the NRTA Holland & Knight 2100 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20037

L. Marie Guillory
Jill Canfield
R. Scott Reiter
NTCA
4121 Wilson Blvd. Tenth Floor
Arlington, VA 22203

Kathleen Kaercher Stuart Polikoff OPASTCO 21 Dupont Circle, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

Lawrence E. Sarjeant
Linda L. Kent
John W. Hunter
Keith Townsend
Julie L. Rones
David Cohen
USTA
1401 H St., NW
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005-2164

Kelly R. Rahl Attorneys for the Nebraska Rural Independent Companies Baird Holm 1500 Woodmen Tower Omaha, Nebraska 68102-2068 Jeffrey F. Beck Beck and Ackerman Four Embarcadero Center Suite 760 San Francisco, CA 94111

Robert M. Halperin Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2595

Samuel E Ebbesen President & Chief Executive Officer Virgin Islands Telephone Corporation P.O. Box 6100 St. Thomas USVI 00810-6100

Gregory J. Vogt Daniel J. Smith Derek A. Yeo Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Joseph DiBella Verizon 1320 North Court House Road Eight Floor Arlington, VA 22201

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr.
Mary J. Sisak
Attorneys For Valor Telecommunications
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens
2120 L Street, NW
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20037

David Cosson John Kuykendall Attorneys For Rural Independent Competitive Alliance Kraskin, Lesse,& Cosson, LLP 2120 L Street, NW Suite 520 Washington, D.C. 20037 Paul J. Feldman Counsel For Roseville Telephone Company Fletcher, Heald & Hildret, P.L.C. 11th Floor, 1300 North 17th Street

Arlington, Virginia 22209-3801

Jerold C. Lambert Charter Communications, Inc. 12444 Powerscourt Drive Suite 100 St. Louis, Missouri 63131-3660

Mark C. Rosenblum
Judy Sello
AT&T Corp.
Room 1135L2
295 North Maple Avenue
Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

Frederick W. Hitz Director, Rates And Tariffs General Communication, Inc. 2550 Denali Street Suite 1000 Anchorage, Alaska 99503

Alan Buzacott Worldcom, Inc. 1801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006

James U. Troup
Attorney For Iowa Telecommunications
Services, Inc.
Arter & Hadden LLP
1801 K Street, N.W.
.Suite 400K
Washington, D.C. 20006-1301

John H. Harwood II Matthew A. Brill Russell P. Hanser Counsel for Qwest Corporation Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1420 Robert McKenna QWEST Corporation 1801 California Street Suite 5100 Denver, CO 80202

Geoffrey A. Feiss General Manager Montana Telecommunications Association 208 North Montana Avenue Suite 207 Helena, Montana 59601

Walter J. Challenger Public Service Commission of the U.S. Virgin Islands P.O. Box 40 Charlotte Amalie, USVI 00804

Jay C. Keithley Sprint Corporation 401 9th Street, NW #400 Washington, DC 20004

Margot Smiley Humphrey
Attorney For TDS Telecommunications
Corporation
Holland & Knight LLP
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20037

Donald W. Downes, Chairman Connecticut Department Of Utility Control 10 Franklin Square New Britain, Connecticut 06051

Susan Stevens Miller Maryland Public Service Commission 6 St. Paul Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Lawrence G. Malone, General Counsel New York State Department Of Public Service Albany, New York 12223-1350 Myra Karcgianes Illinois Commerce Commission 160 North LaSalle, Suite C-800 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Duane C. Durand Bristol Bay Telephone Cooperative, Inc. P.O. Box 259 King Salmon, Alaska 99613

Richard M. Tettelbaum Associate General Counsel Citizens Communications Company 6905 Rockledge Dr. Suite 600 Bethesda, MD 20817

Roger K. Toppins Paul Mancini SBC Communications, Inc. 1401 I Street NW 11th Floor Washington, D.C. 20005

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr.
Mary J. Sisak
Attorneys For Sully Buttes Telephone
Cooperative, Inc.
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens
2120 L Street, NW
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20037

Heather H. Grahame
Attorney For The Alaska Rural Coalition
Dorsey & Whitney LLP
1031 W 4th Avenue
Suite 600
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Valley Telecommunications Cooperative Assn., Inc. P.O.Box 7 102 South Main Herreid, SD 57632 Michele C. Farquhar
David L. Sieradzki
Angela E. Giancarlo
Attorneys For Competitive Universal
Service Coalition
Hogan & Hartson, L.L.P
555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

Dennis Law General Manager Sioux Valley Telephone Company 525 E. Fourth Street PO Box 98 Dell Rapids, SD 57022

Gregg J. Kinkley
Department Of Commerce And Consumer
Affairs
STATE OF HAWAII
250 South King Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

William J. Warinner CPA, Principal Warinner, Gesinger & Associates, LLC 10901 W 84 th Terrace Suite 101 Lenexa, Kansas 66214

Jeffry H. Smith GVNW Consulting, Inc. 8050 SW Warm Springs Street Suite 200 Tualatin, Oregon 97062

Fred Williamson & Associates, Inc. 2921 E. 91<sup>st</sup> Street, Suite 200 Tulsa, OK 74137-3300

James Rowe Alaska Telephone Association 201 E. 56<sup>th</sup>, Suite 114 Anchorage, Alaska 99518

Cheryl Parrino Universal Service Administrative Company 2120 L Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20037

\*\*with diskette

Peter Arth, Jr. California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Douglas Meredith John Staurulakis, Inc. 6315 Seabrook Road Seabrook, MD 20706

Gerard Duffy Counsel for The Western Alliance Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Prendergast 2120 L Street, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20037

Bruce Reuber Interstate Telecom Consulting, Inc. 130 Birch Ave. West (PO Box 668) Hector, MN 53342

Chris Barron TCA, Inc. 1465 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Ste. 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80920

John Jones CenturyTel 100 Century Park Drive Monroe, LA 71203

Benjamin Dickens, Jr.
Counsel for Townes Telecommunications, Inc.
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Prendergast
20120 L Street, NW Suite 300
Washington, DC 20037

Samuel Ebbesen Innovative Telephone P.O. Box 6100 St. Thomas, USVI 00801-6100

<sup>\*</sup>filed through ECFS